UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,067	03/23/2004	Roma Vazirani	MSP5011USNP	2643	
	23122 7590 02/19/2008 RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			WONG, LESLIE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1794			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		10/807,067	VAZIRANI, ROMA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leslie Wong	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 De	ecember 2007				
· · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	·—		secution as to the merits is			
٥,١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	, pane gaay, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	6) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant does not teach what is meant by "essentially solid" as the use of this phrase does not clearly set forth limits on the claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-31 are indefinite as to the use of "essentially solid" as the use of this phrase does not clearly set forth limits on the claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodacre et al (EP0334617) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action.

Goodacre et al teach a sweetener composition comprising agglomerating hollow spheroids or part spheroids of microcrystalline sucrose with sucralose and a binder (i.e. sucrose) as is claimed (see entire document, especially page 2, lines 3-5; page 3, lines 9, 17-21, 30-34, 60, and 62; Examples 2, 4, and 10, and; Claims 1, 11-14, 18, and 19).

The claimed Hunter values would be no more than inherent to Goodacre et al as the same components and process steps are used.

Applicant's arguments filed December 7, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach an essentially solid core.

Goodacre et al teach a sweetener composition comprising agglomerating hollow spheroids or part spheroids of microcrystalline sucrose, generally bound to crystals of sucrose, with sucralose and a binder (see abstract). It is not seen how the claimed "essentially solid core" differs from the sucrose crystals of Goodacre et al.

It is further noted that Applicant does not teach what is encompassed by "essentially solid core" and/or how this differs from a solid core. Applicant provides multiple dictionary definitions for both "essential" and "solid," and then chooses from this to state that the phrase "essentially solid core" describes a core that basically is not

hollow. No where in the specification does applicant define this phrase as such.

Furthermore using Applicant's supplied definitions the phrase could also mean basically not a gas or liquid or basically uniformly close and coherent etc, which are all met by Goodacre et al. It is not seen how the claimed invention patentably defines over that of the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie Wong/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

LAW February 13, 2008 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/807,067	VAZIRANI, ROMA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie Wong	1794		